

# Brailsford & Ednaston Parish Council

## Notes from Neighbourhood Plan Meeting 15.8.16

**Present:** Simon Thompson (ST), Lucy Thompson (LT), Diane Minshall (DM), Judith Pugsley (JP), Sue Cowdery (SC), Michael Cannon (MC), Hugh Stevenson (HS), Pat Laughlin (PL)

**Apologies:** Paul Smith

**In Attendance:** Joe Dugdale (JD), Rural Action Derbyshire

### Timeline for Submission of the Neighbourhood Plan

1. The agreed deadline date for the final consultation had been 1 September 2016. Unfortunately it would not be possible to complete the Design Principles Statement until mid-September. A new deadline of 23 September 2016 was set
2. The process is as follows:
  - Final draft with Appendices to be completed as a Pre-submission Version
  - Draft to be available for public consultation in the Parish. An 'Exhibition' date to be set
  - Notice of the consultation to be issued by the Parish Council and ATB
  - Draft to be sent to statutory consultees:
    - Severn Trent
    - Environment Agency
    - Heritage England
    - Derbyshire County Council, Public Health, Education and Highways, Corporate
    - South Derbyshire Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG)
    - Derbyshire Fire Service
    - Derbyshire Police
    - East Midlands Ambulance Service and Non-Emergency Passenger Transport
    - Local Councillors (Derbyshire County Council – DCC and Derbyshire Dales District Council - DDDC)
  - Two weeks for consultation to be allowed
  - Draft to be updated and approved by Parish Council as Qualifying Body at October meeting
  - Formal submission to DDDC
  - Statutory six weeks consultation period to be organised by DDDC
  - Public Examiner to be proposed – the Parish Council (NP Group) can determine final selection
  - Redrafting as Required
  - Submission for Public Examination
  - Final Redrafting
  - Referendum to be organised by DDDC
3. It was noted that the public examination can take up to six months.
4. Although there is still a timelag before the approval of the Plan, JD confirmed that the Plan now has validity and should be taken into account by DDDC in determining any planning applications. The latest draft would be sent to Mike Hase at DDDC.

## **Preparation of the Design Principles Statement**

5. HS had identified Urban Vision, a CIC with experience of producing these statements. The cost of preparation would be £1000 including a presentation to the NP Group.
6. PL confirmed that funds are available. The Parish Council had received a further grant of c£3k – the full allocation of grant available.
7. It was agreed that a recommendation should be made to the Parish Council that an order should be placed for this work.

## **Outstanding Items for the NP Draft**

8. PL presented a list of outstanding materials. These would be auctioned as follows:
  - Photographic material covering the Parish and including items for the Design Statement, the preferred development sites, and a 'landscape' shot to be used for the Plan cover. HS to action. These will be available by 20.8.16
  - Check against the revised draft Local Plan. PI to ask Steve Wilmot who had helped with the first draft. DM to assist
  - Plans covering public open space, the conservation area and preferred development sites. PL to action
  - Statements about Culland and Over Burrow. ST & LT
  - Gender split from census. JD to action
  - Accident, crime and speeding statistics. PL and MC to action
9. JD confirmed that a SEA was not required for the Brailsford Plan but a confirmation letter from DDDC was required which should be included in the document.
10. A basic Conditions statement ie what statutory documentation has been taken into account and a Consultation statement must be included in the Plan. The latter should be drafted as an Appendix.
11. Graphs demonstrating the demographic and status of the Parish to remain in the Introduction.

## **The Playing Field**

12. PL gave an update. DCC had been consulted about the proposal to designate the Playing Field as Public Open Space, as required by the regulations. In their response they had suggested that the Council were planning to develop the site for affordable housing as part of its new corporate policy to use land in its ownership for housing in line with Government policy. A meeting with the Parish Council had been suggested.
13. The following actions were agreed:
  - ATB to publicise the current proposal to residents. PL to brief MC
  - Parish Council to inform all those who had registered formal support for the designation
  - Notice of DCC intention to be published on the Parish Council website
  - A meeting with DCC to be arranged as a matter of urgency.

### **Review of Housing and Traffic Policies**

14. All policies were agreed in principle.
15. Requirements for affordable housing would clearly state **to meet local needs**.
16. Policy relating to self-build to be amended to reflect new Government guidelines.
17. Policies relating to highways and traffic management to be retained in line with recommendations from the Traffic Consultant
18. Some minor reordering would be made in accordance with the priority attached to each policy. PL to action.

### **Next Steps**

19. Redrafting of the Plan as agreed in 8-11.
20. Work to begin on preparing an editorial copy for publication.
21. Meeting to be arranged with DCC re the Playing Field
22. Date for the next meeting to be confirmed when arrangements for the preparation of the Design Statement were finalised.

### **Meeting with Doveridge and Hulland Ward Parish Councils**

23. The Parish Council had proposed a meeting with Doveridge and Hulland Ward to discuss a joint response to the Tier 3 Settlement proposal and the Local Plan Consultation. The meeting was scheduled for 22.8.16 – time to be confirmed.

### **Local Plan Consultation**

24. Key dates had been circulated and were available on the website.
25. It was noted that the new Plan had taken account of a number of the points raised in the first consultation including the removal of the Throstlenest way site from the proposals for Brailsford. Allocations of housing to the remaining sites had remained as previously tabled – with numbers **below** that proposed by developers.